which I return, & request that
you [illegible] "Wilson Bros". I should like
[illegible] & have the copies you mention
but if you are at any expense in
connection with the printing or otherwise
let me share it.

Taking up a little reading during time
out of a lot yesterday I was glad to find
the leaves in transition on this little inch
high specimen from the Sarracenia to
the normal type; the early leaves having
the long linear outer appendage (p - a
later one the regular shape of the leaf
but the appendage thus broad &
flat but almost truncate at base, & the
up[per] with the appendage regularly divided
although the leaf was very young then -
the appendages have exaggerated & shown
better the shape.

Yr truly Jno. M. Macfarlane

Lansing Mich 15th 1

My Dear Dr. Gray

Thanks for
kindness in sending me the Talcott
labels and for the sheet of Hillman.
I read with great interest what you
say in regard to Elliott's Bot. When
I was about entering upon the study
of Botanical subjects and before I knew
anything of its literature I came across
five parts (if I mistake not) of the
"Sketches" among a lot of old rubbish in
a loft in my father's house. Each part
had the name of the person taking them
(M. Brown - Moses Brown I have no
doubt - an old friend of my father's in Phila.
written on the outside of the cover in front
of them and on the first page of the

other. I say there have, however, to
[illegible] of the book at that time as to the
[illegible] but they contained all that was
ever published. As to the facts. As
to the first there can be no doubt that
it ended at page 96 in the middle of
the description of Ammodramus maccu-
sperma. I think the second part ended
at page 200 from the name "M. Brown"
being at the top of page 201 and from
"internal evidence". As to the ending of
the third & fourth parts I cannot say as-
cept that I have a suspicion that the
table ended either at page 384 or page 400.
Be that as it may my first parts
came to an abrupt conclusion at page
496 near the end of the description of
Vaccinium stamineum. You have more
direct evidence as to most of this that
may upset it but I give it for what it
is worth. I showed my brown that while
I think I distinctly remember first parts
it is just possible that there may have
been four - that the third ended at page
384 and the fourth (instead of 4th & 5th) at
page 496. I can see no particular evi-
dence on page 222 of my book of the se-
cond part ending there.

The other articles in Silliman were also
very interesting.

Just as I was writing the above a
letter came from Pary with additional
labels - So, with many thanks, I return them
for sent as you may need them. Pary
confesses that he had fears that Palmer
was ~~deceptive~~ "decimeping" to me; that
it would have been better to have "just
two 19 cts. cuts 12 or 15". I think
the little flurry will be of advantage
all around.

I have paid Brickard's bill.
They sent me also the bill for print-